

On endomorphism rings of local cohomology modules

M. Hellus and J. Stückrad

February 2, 2008

Abstract

Let R be a local complete ring. For an R -module M the canonical ring map $R \rightarrow \text{End}_R(M)$ is in general neither injective nor surjective; we show that it is bijective for every local cohomology module $M := H_I^h(R)$ if $H_I^l(R) = 0$ for every $l \neq h (= \text{height}(I))$ (I an ideal of R); furthermore the same holds for the Matlis dual of such a module. As an application we prove new criteria for an ideal to be a set-theoretic complete intersection.

1 Introduction

For an ideal I of a local ring (R, m) we denote the n -th local cohomology functor with support in I by H_I^n and the (contravariant) Matlis dual functor by D , i. e. $D(M) = \text{Hom}_R(M, E)$ for any R -module M , where $E := E_R(R/m)$ is a fixed R -injective hull of the residue field R/m .

Let R be a (always commutative, unitary) ring and M an R -module. There is the canonical map $\mu_M : R \rightarrow \text{End}_R(M)$ that maps $r \in R$ to multiplication by r on M ; it is a homomorphism of (associative) R -algebras. In general, μ_M is neither injective nor surjective. In section 2 we will show that, if R is noetherian local complete and I an ideal of R such that $H_I^l(R) = 0$ for every $l \neq h (= \text{height}(I))$, then $\mu_{H_I^h(R)}$ is bijective. In particular, the endomorphism ring of the R -module $H_I^h(R)$ is commutative and $\text{Ann}_R(H_I^h(R)) = 0$.

The proof of this result uses a generalization of theorem 3.2 from [11] which says that, for a special class of noetherian local complete rings R it is true that $D(H_I^h(D(H_I^h(R))))$ is either zero or isomorphic to R if $H_I^l(R) = 0$ for every $l > h = \text{height}(I)$; the generalization is due to Khashyarmansh ([14, Corollary 2.6]) and says that $D(H_I^h(D(H_I^h(R)))) \cong R$ for every noetherian local complete ring and every ideal $I \subseteq R$ such that $H_I^l(R) = 0$ for $l \neq h = \text{height}(I)$.

We also show in section 2 that $\mu_{D(H_I^h(R))}$ is an isomorphism if $H_I^l(R) = 0$ for every $l \neq h$.

Recently there was some work on Matlis duals of local cohomology modules (e. g. [6, 7, 10, 11, 12]). In [10, Corollary 1.1.4] the following was proved: If, for some $h \in \mathbb{N}$, $H_I^l(R) = 0$ for all $l > h$ and $\underline{x} = x_1, \dots, x_h \in I$ is an R -regular sequence then one has the following equivalence

$$\sqrt{I} = \sqrt{\underline{x}R} \iff \underline{x} \text{ is a } D(H_I^h(R)) \text{ regular sequence}$$

In section 3 we extend this equivalence:

Theorem. *Let (R, m) be a noetherian local complete ring and I an ideal of R such that $H_I^l(R) = 0$ for every $l > h := \text{height}(I) \geq 1$; let $\underline{x} = x_1, \dots, x_h \in I$ be an R -regular sequence. Set $D := D(H_I^h(R))$. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $\sqrt{I} = \sqrt{\underline{x}R}$; in particular, I is a set-theoretic complete intersection.
- (ii) $\underline{x}$ is a D -regular sequence.
- (iii) The canonical map $D/\underline{x}D \rightarrow H_{\underline{x}R}^h(D)$ (coming from $H_{\underline{x}R}^h(D) = \text{dirlim}_{l \in \mathbb{N}} D/\underline{x}^l D$) is injective.
- (iv) The canonical map $\{r \in R \mid \forall l \in \mathbb{N} \exists s \in \mathbb{N} \ r \cdot I^s \subseteq \underline{x}^l R\} \rightarrow \Gamma_I(R/\underline{x}R)$ is surjective.

The equivalence of (ii) and (iii) is inspired by a result of Marley and Rogers ([18, Prop. 2.3] which is a version of (ii) $\iff$ (iii) for (arbitrary) finitely generated modules D .

2 Endomorphism Rings

Definition 2.1. (i) Let R be a ring and M an R -module. The map

$$R \rightarrow \text{End}_R(M), r \mapsto \text{multiplication by } r \text{ on } M$$

is an R -algebra homomorphism and will be denoted by μ_M .

(ii) Let R be a local ring and M an R -module. M has a canonical embedding

$$M \rightarrow D(D(M)) = D^2(M), m \mapsto (\varphi \mapsto \varphi(m))$$

into its bidual; this map will be denoted by ι_M . We will consider M as a submodule of $D^2(M)$ via ι_M .

(iii) Let (R, m) be a noetherian local ring and $\underline{x} = x_1, \dots, x_h$ a sequence of elements of R . For every R -module M there is a canonical map

$$M/\underline{x}M \xrightarrow{\iota_{M, \underline{x}}} H_{\underline{x}R}^h(M)$$

(coming from the description $H_{\underline{x}R}^h(M) = \text{dirlim}_{l \in \mathbb{N}} M/(x_1^l, \dots, x_h^l)M$, where the transition maps are induced by multiplication by $x_1 \cdots x_h$).

Theorem 2.2. *Let (R, m) be a noetherian local complete ring and I an ideal of R such that $H_I^l(R) = 0$ for every $l \neq h$ (h is necessarily the height of I then). Set $H := H_I^h(R)$.*

- (i) $\text{Hom}_R(H, \iota_H) : \text{End}_R(H) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(H, D^2(H))$ is an isomorphism.
- (ii) There is a canonical isomorphism

$$\gamma_H : \text{Hom}_R(H, D^2(H)) \rightarrow D(H_I^h(D(H))) \quad .$$

- (iii) $\mu_H : R \rightarrow \text{End}_R(H)$ is an isomorphism of R -algebras.
- Consequently there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\gamma_H \circ \text{Hom}_R(H, \iota_H) \circ \mu_H : R \rightarrow D(H_I^h(D(H)))$$

Proof. (i) It is clear that $\text{Hom}_R(H, \iota_H)$ is injective. To show surjectivity, let $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_R(H, D^2(H))$ be arbitrary; let $x \in H$ be arbitrary and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $I^n \cdot x = 0$. This implies $I^n \cdot \varphi(x) = 0$, i. e.

$$\varphi(x) \in (0 :_{D^2(H)} I^n) = D^2((0 :_H I^n)) = (0 :_H I^n) \subseteq H$$

(the first equality follows from exactness of D , for the second equality we remark that $(0 :_H I^n)$ is finitely generated, as the spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p,q} = \text{Ext}_R^p(R/I^n, H_I^q(R)) \Rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{p+q}(R/I^n, R)$$

shows $(0 :_H I^n) = \text{Ext}_R^h(R/I^n, R)$). This means that the image of φ is contained in $H \subseteq D^2(H)$, which was precisely what we had to show.

- (ii) Hom-Tensor adjointness shows

$$\text{Hom}_R(H, D^2(H)) = D(H \otimes_R D(H)) \quad .$$

On the other hand, our hypotheses imply $H_I^l = 0$ for every $l > h$; in particular H_I^h is right exact, we get

$$H \otimes_R D(H) = H_I^h(R) \otimes_R D(H) = H_I^h(D(H))$$

and statement (ii) is clear now.

- (iii) [14, Corollary 2.6] implies that there exists an isomorphism of R -modules

$$D(H_I^h(D(H))) \cong R \quad .$$

Therefore, (i) and (ii) show that the R -module $\text{End}_R(H)$ is free of rank one. Fix any isomorphism $R \cong \text{End}_R(H)$ and let $\psi \in \text{End}_R(H)$ be the element corresponding to $1 \in R$. In particular there exists a (unique) $x \in R$ such that $\text{id}_H = x \circ \psi$, where x is multiplication by x on H . This implies $\varphi = x \circ \psi \circ \varphi$ for every $\varphi \in \text{End}_R(H)$; in particular, multiplication by x is surjective on $\text{End}_R(H) \cong R$, x is a unit in R and μ_H is bijective. $\square$

Corollary 2.3. *In the situation of theorem 2.2 the endomorphism ring of $H_I^h(R)$ is canonically isomorphic to R , in particular it is commutative and $\text{Ann}_R(H_I^h(R)) = 0$ holds.*

Let (R, m) be a noetherian local ring and M an R -module. Consider the sequence of R -modules

$$R \rightarrow \text{End}_R(D(M)) \rightarrow \text{End}_R(D^2(M)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, D^2(M)) ,$$

where the first map is $\mu_{D(M)}$, the second is given by $\alpha \mapsto D(\alpha)$ and the third is restriction to $M \subseteq D^2(M)$. The composition of the second and third is always injective:

Lemma 2.4. *Let (R, m) be a noetherian local ring and M an R -module. The R -linear map*

$$\text{End}_R(D(M)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, D^2(M)), \varphi \mapsto (m \mapsto (D(\varphi))(\iota_M(m)))$$

is injective.

Proof. This is straightforward: Let φ be in the kernel of the above map; this means that for all $m \in M$ and for all $\psi \in D(M)$ one has $\varphi(\psi)(m) = 0$, i. e. $\varphi = 0$. $\square$

We apply this injectivity in the case where the local ring (R, m) is complete, $M := H := H_I^h(R)$ and I is an ideal of R such that $H_I^l(R) = 0$ for every $l \neq h$; we get R -linear maps

$$\begin{aligned} R \rightarrow \text{End}_R(D(H)) \rightarrow \text{End}_R(D^2(H)) &\rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(H, D^2(H)) \\ &\stackrel{2.2(i)}{=} \text{End}_R(H) \\ &\stackrel{2.2(iii)}{=} R \end{aligned}$$

The composition of all these maps is clearly id_R . Thus, the injectivity statement from lemma 2.4 shows:

Theorem 2.5. *Let (R, m) be a noetherian local complete ring and I an ideal of R such that $H_I^l(R) = 0$ for every $l \neq h$. Then the canonical map*

$$\mu_{D(H_I^h(R))} : R \rightarrow \text{End}_R(D(H_I^h(R)))$$

is an isomorphism of R -algebras.

3 Complete Intersections and Local Cohomology

We need a couple of lemmata and remarks before we can prove theorem 3.7, which is the main result of this section:

Remark 3.1. Let (R, m) be a noetherian local ring, I an ideal of R and M an R -module such that

$$\text{Supp}_R(M) \subseteq V(I)$$

(where $V(I) = \{p \in \text{Spec}(R) | p \supseteq I\}$). Let $\hat{}$ denote I -adic completion. Then the natural map

$$D(M) \rightarrow \widehat{D(M)}$$

is an isomorphism; in particular, $\bigcap_{l \in \mathbb{N}} I^l \cdot D(M) = 0$.

Proof. We have to show that the canonical map

$$D(M) \rightarrow \text{invlim}_{l \in \mathbb{N}} (D(M)/I^l D(M))$$

is bijective; but one has

$$\begin{aligned} D(M) &= D(\Gamma_I(M)) \\ &= D(\text{dirlim}_{l \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Hom}_R(R/I^l, M)) \\ &= \text{invlim}_{l \in \mathbb{N}} D(\text{Hom}_R(R/I^l, M)) \\ &= \text{invlim}_{l \in \mathbb{N}} D(M)/I^l D(M) \end{aligned}$$

and it is easy to see that this is the canonical map $D(M) \rightarrow \widehat{D(M)}$. $\square$

Let (R, m) be a noetherian local ring and $\underline{x} = x_1, \dots, x_h$ a sequence of elements of R . Marley and Rogers have shown ([18, Proposition 2.3]) that, for finitely generated M , $\iota_{M, \underline{x}}$ is injective iff $\underline{x}$ is an M -regular sequence; in this context, note that the proof of the following lemma is strongly based on the their proof; our additional ingredient is remark 3.1.

Lemma 3.2. Let (R, m) be a noetherian local ring, I an ideal of R , $n, h \in \mathbb{N}$, $\underline{x} = x_1, \dots, x_h \in I$ an arbitrary sequence and N an R -module. Set $H := H_I^n(N)$ and $D := D(H)$. The following two statements are equivalent:

(i) For every $i = 1, \dots, h$, multiplication by x_i on $D/(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1})D$ is injective (i. e., $\underline{x}$ is a D -quasiregular sequence).

(ii) $D/\underline{x}D \xrightarrow{\iota_{D, \underline{x}}} H_{\underline{x}R}^h(D)$ is injective.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): The finite case is well-known, [19, Prop. 5.2.1] is a reference for the general case (note that (ii) holds trivially if $D/\underline{x}D = 0$).

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): By induction on h : $h = 1$: Set $x = x_1$ and let $\alpha \in D$ be such that $x\alpha = 0$. We have to show $\alpha = 0$. α represents an element of $\ker(\iota_{D, \underline{x}})$; therefore, by assumption, $\alpha \in xD$. Choose $\alpha_1 \in D$ such that $\alpha = x\alpha_1$. We conclude $x^2\alpha_1 = 0$. Again, α_1 represents an element of $\ker(\iota_{D, \underline{x}})$ and so there exists $\alpha_2 \in D$ such that $\alpha_1 = x\alpha_2$. Continuing this way, we get

$$\alpha \in \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} x^k D$$

and then $\alpha = 0$, by remark 3.1. $h > 1$: First of all we prove injectivity of

$$D/(x_1, \dots, x_{h-1})D \xrightarrow{\iota_{D, x_1, \dots, x_{h-1}}} H_{(x_1, \dots, x_{h-1})R}^{h-1}(D) ;$$

to do so, let $\alpha \in \ker(\iota_{D, x_1, \dots, x_{h-1}})$ be arbitrary. We show $\alpha \in (x_1, \dots, x_{h-1})D + x_h^k D$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ by induction on k : $k = 0$ is trivial, we assume $k > 0$ and write $\alpha = \omega + x_h^k \beta$ for some $\omega \in (x_1, \dots, x_{h-1})D, \beta \in D$. By our choice of α there exists $t \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(x_1 \cdots x_{h-1})^t x_h^k \beta \in (x_1^{t+1}, \dots, x_{h-1}^{t+1})D$$

and hence

$$(x_1 \cdots x_h)^{t+k} \beta \in (x_1^{t+k+1}, \dots, x_{h-1}^{t+k+1})D .$$

But $\iota_{D, \underline{x}}$ is injective, we conclude $\beta \in (x_1, \dots, x_h)D$ and our induction on k is finished:

$$\alpha \in \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} ((x_1, \dots, x_{h-1})D + x_h^k D) .$$

The R -module

$$D/(x_1, \dots, x_{h-1})D = D(\text{Hom}_R(R/(x_1, \dots, x_{h-1})R, H))$$

is $x_h R$ -adically separated by remark 3.1. This means

$$\bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} ((x_1, \dots, x_{h-1})D + x_h^k D) = (x_1, \dots, x_{h-1})D$$

and the stated injectivity of $\iota_{D, x_1, \dots, x_{h-1}}$ follows. The induction hypothesis shows that $x_1, \dots, x_{h-1}$ is D -quasiregular; we have to show that multiplication by x_h on $D/(x_1, \dots, x_{h-1})D$ is injective. Let $\alpha \in D$ be such that $x_h \alpha \in (x_1, \dots, x_{h-1})D$. We state

$$\forall_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha \in (x_1, \dots, x_{h-1})D + x_h^k D$$

and prove this statement by induction on k . We may assume $k > 0$ and write $\alpha = \omega + x_h^k \beta$ for some $\omega \in (x_1, \dots, x_{h-1})D, \beta \in D$. From $x_h \alpha = x_h \omega + x_h^{k+1} \beta$ we conclude $x_h^{k+1} \beta \in (x_1, \dots, x_{h-1})D$. Therefore,

$$(x_1^{k+1} \cdots x_h^{k+1}) \beta \in (x_1^{k+2}, \dots, x_{h-1}^{k+2})D .$$

But $\iota_{D, \underline{x}}$ is injective and so $\beta \in (x_1, \dots, x_h)D$, induction on k is finished:

$$\alpha \in \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} ((x_1, \dots, x_{h-1})D + x_h^k D) \stackrel{3.1}{=} (x_1, \dots, x_{h-1})D$$

(note that the last equality has been explained above in a similar situation). $\square$

Let (R, m) be a noetherian local complete ring, I an ideal of R , $h \in \mathbb{N}$; assume that $\underline{x} = x_1, \dots, x_h \in I$ is an R -regular sequence. It follows from the Grothendieck spectral sequence belonging to the composed functors $\Gamma_I \circ \Gamma_{\underline{x}R}$ that

$$H_I^h(R) = \Gamma_I(H_{\underline{x}R}^h(R)) \subseteq H_{\underline{x}R}^h(R) \quad .$$

By applying the functors D , $H_{\underline{x}R}^h$ and then D again, we get a monomorphism (because D is exact and $H_{\underline{x}R}^h$ is right exact)

$$D(H_{\underline{x}R}^h(D(H_I^h(R)))) \hookrightarrow D(H_{\underline{x}R}^h(D(H_{\underline{x}R}^h(R)))) \quad .$$

Because of [14, Corollary 2.6], there is an isomorphism

$$D(H_{\underline{x}R}^h(D(H_{\underline{x}R}^h(R)))) \cong R \quad .$$

Clearly, this isomorphism is unique up to a unit of R and so we may consider $D(H_{\underline{x}R}^h(D(H_I^h(R))))$ as an ideal of R (alternatively we use theorem 2.2 and have a canonical isomorphism $D(H_{\underline{x}R}^h(D(H_{\underline{x}R}^h(R)))) = R$; the resulting ideal $J_{\underline{x}, I}$ is the same in both cases).

Definition 3.3. *In the above situation, set*

$$J_{\underline{x}, I} := D(H_{\underline{x}R}^h(D(H_I^h(R))))$$

and consider $J_{\underline{x}, I}$ as an ideal of R .

Remark 3.4. *Though the definition of $J_{\underline{x}, I}$ is quite abstract it also has the following concrete description: Because of right exactness of $H_{\underline{x}R}^h$,*

$$D(H_{\underline{x}R}^h(D(H_I^h(R)))) = D(H_{\underline{x}R}^h(R) \otimes_R D(H_I^h(R)))$$

and by Hom-Tensor adjointness, the latter module is

$$\text{Hom}_R(H_{\underline{x}R}^h(R), D^2(H_I^h(R))) \quad .$$

Now the arguments from the proof of theorem 2.2 (i) show

$$\text{Hom}_R(H_{\underline{x}R}^h(R), D^2(H_I^h(R))) = \text{Hom}_R(H_{\underline{x}R}^h(R), H_I^h(R)) \quad .$$

But $H_I^h(R) = \Gamma_I(H_{\underline{x}R}^h(R))$ and we get

$$J_{\underline{x}, I} = \{\varphi \in \text{End}_R(H_{\underline{x}R}^h(R)) \mid \text{im}(\varphi) \subseteq \Gamma_I(H_{\underline{x}R}^h(R))\} \quad .$$

We have $\text{End}_R(H_{\underline{x}R}^h(R)) \stackrel{\text{can}}{=} R$ and thus

$$J_{\underline{x}, I} = \{r \in R \mid r \cdot H_{\underline{x}R}^h(R) \subseteq \Gamma_I(H_{\underline{x}R}^h(R))\} \quad .$$

Using the description $H_{\underline{x}R}^h(R) = \bigcup_{l \in \mathbb{N}} R/\underline{x}^l R$ (where $\underline{x}^l = x_1^l, \dots, x_h^l$) we conclude

$$J_{\underline{x},I} = \{r \in R \mid \forall_{l \in \mathbb{N}} \exists_{s \in \mathbb{N}} r \cdot I^s \subseteq \underline{x}^l R\} = \bigcap_{l \in \mathbb{N}} (\underline{x}^l R :< I >) .$$

Therefore, if we restrict the canonical map $R \rightarrow R/\underline{x}R$ to $J_{\underline{x},I}$, we get a canonical map from $J_{\underline{x},I}$ to $\Gamma_I(R/\underline{x}R)$:

Definition 3.5. In the above situation, the canonical map

$$J_{\underline{x},I} \rightarrow \Gamma_I(R/\underline{x}R)$$

is denoted by $j_{\underline{x},I}$.

Remark 3.6. Let (R, m) be a noetherian local complete ring. Let I be an ideal of R , $h \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$\underline{x} = x_1, \dots, x_h \subseteq I$$

an R -regular sequence. Then

$$I \subseteq \sqrt{\underline{x}R + \text{Ann}_R(J_{\underline{x},I})} .$$

In particular, if R is a domain and $\sqrt{\underline{x}R} \subsetneq \sqrt{I}$, $J_{\underline{x},R} = 0$

Proof. We use the description of $J_{\underline{x},R}$ from remark 3.4. For the first statement we have to show

$$V(\text{Ann}_R(J_{\underline{x},I})) \cap V(\underline{x}R) \subseteq V(I) ,$$

i. e. for every $r \in J_{\underline{x},R}$ we have to show

$$V(\text{Ann}_R(r)) \cap V(\underline{x}R) \subseteq V(I) :$$

Let $r \in J_{\underline{x},I}$ be arbitrary; by remark 3.4, $J_{\underline{x},I} = \{r \in R \mid \forall_{l \in \mathbb{N}} \exists_{s \in \mathbb{N}} r \cdot I^s \subseteq \underline{x}^l R\}$. For every $p \in V(\underline{x}R) \setminus V(I)$ we get

$$r \cdot R_p \subseteq \bigcap_{l \in \mathbb{N}} \underline{x}^l R_p \subseteq \bigcap_{l \in \mathbb{N}} p^l R_p = 0 ,$$

i. e. $\text{Ann}_R(r) \not\subseteq p$ and the first statement is proven. The second statement follows immediately from the first. $\square$

Theorem 3.7. Let (R, m) be a noetherian local complete ring and I an ideal of R such that $H_I^l(R) = 0$ for every $l > h := \text{height}(I) \geq 1$; let $\underline{x} = x_1, \dots, x_h \in I$ be an R -regular sequence (clearly, this implies $H_I^l(R) = 0$ for every $l \neq h$). Set $D := D(H_I^h(R))$. The following statements are equivalent:

- (i) $\sqrt{I} = \sqrt{\underline{x}R}$; in particular, I is a set-theoretic complete intersection.
- (ii) $\underline{x}$ is a D -regular sequence.
- (iii) $D/\underline{x}D \xrightarrow{\iota_{D,\underline{x}}} H_{\underline{x}R}^h(D)$ is injective.
- (iv) $j_{\underline{x},I}$ is surjective.
- (v) $J_{\underline{x},I} = R$.

Proof. (i) $\iff$ (ii) was shown (for more general R) in [10, Cor. 1.1.4].
(ii) $\iff$ (iii): Is a special case of Lemma 3.2 (note that $D/\underline{x}D = D(\text{Hom}_R(R/\underline{x}R, H_I^h(R))) \neq 0$).
(iii) $\iff$ (iv): By definition, $D(H_{\underline{x}R}^h(D)) = J_{\underline{x}, I}$. We have

$$D(D/\underline{x}D) = D(D(\text{Hom}_R(R/\underline{x}R, H_I^h(R)))) \quad .$$

But $H_I^h(R) = \Gamma_I(H_{\underline{x}R}^h(R))$ and, therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_R(R/\underline{x}R, H_I^h(R)) &= \Gamma_I(\text{Hom}_R(R/\underline{x}, H_{\underline{x}R}^h(R))) \\ &= \Gamma_I(\text{Ext}_R^h(R/\underline{x}R, R)) \\ &= \Gamma_I(R/\underline{x}R) \end{aligned}$$

(for the second and the third equality use the fact that $\underline{x}$ is an R -regular sequence). The latter module is finitely generated, we get

$$D(D(\text{Hom}_R(R/\underline{x}R, H_I^h(R)))) = \Gamma_I(R/\underline{x}R) \quad .$$

Thus $D(\iota_{D, \underline{x}})$ is a map $J_{\underline{x}, I} \rightarrow \Gamma_I(R/\underline{x}R)$; it is straightforward to see that it is in fact $j_{\underline{x}, I}$ (to do so one should start with the description $H_{\underline{x}R}^h(D) = \text{dirlim}_{l \in \mathbb{N}}(D/\underline{x}^l D)$). $\square$

Question 3.8. *In the situation of definition 3.3, when exactly is $J_{\underline{x}, I} = 0$?*

References

- [1] Bruns, W. and Herzog, J. Cohen-Macaulay Rings, *Cambridge University Press*, (1993).
- [2] Bjork, J.-E. Rings of Differential Operators, *Amsterdam North-Holland*, (1979)
- [3] Brodmann, M. P. and Sharp, R. J. Local Cohomology, *Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics* **60**, (1998).
- [4] Bresinsky, H., Stückrad, J. and Renschuch, B. Mengentheoretisch vollständige Durchschnitte verschiedener rationaler Raumkurven im $\mathbf{P}^3$, *Math. Nachr.* **104**, (1981), 147 – 169.
- [5] Grothendieck, A. Local Cohomology, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, *Springer Verlag*, (1967).
- [6] Hellus, M. On the associated primes of Matlis duals of top local cohomology modules, *Communications in Algebra* **33**, (2005), no. 11, 3997–4009.
- [7] Hellus, M. Matlis duals of top local cohomology modules and the arithmetic rank of an ideal, to appear in *Communications in Algebra*.

- [8] Hellus, M. Attached primes and Matlis duals of local cohomology modules, submitted to *Archiv der Mathematik*
- [9] Hellus, M. Local Homology, Cohen-Macaulayness and Cohen-Macaulayfications, to appear in *Algebra Colloquium*.
- [10] Hellus, M. Local Cohomology and Matlis duality, *Habilitationsschrift*, Leipzig (2006).
- [11] Hellus, M. Finiteness properties of duals of local cohomology modules, to appear in *Communications in Algebra*.
- [12] Hellus, M. and Stückrad, J. Matlis duals of top Local Cohomology Modules, submitted to *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*.
- [13] Hellus, M. and Stückrad, J. Generalization of an example of Hartshorne concerning local cohomology, preprint.
- [14] Khashyarmansh K. On the Matlis dual of local cohomology modules, preprint.
- [15] Lyubeznik, G. Finiteness properties of local cohomology modules (an application of D -modules to Commutative Algebra), *Invent. Math.* **113**, (1993), 41–55.
- [16] Matlis, E. Injective modules over Noetherian rings, *Pacific J. Math.* **8**, (1958) 511–528.
- [17] Matsumura, H. Commutative Ring Theory, *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. no. 8*, (1986).
- [18] Marley, T. and Rogers, M. Gorenstein rings and irreducible parameter ideals, *arXiv* arXiv:math.AC/0608661.
- [19] Strooker, J. R. Homological Questions in Local Algebra, *London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes Series* **145**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1990).

UNIVERSITÄT LEIPZIG, FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK UND INFORMATIK,
 MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, AUGUSTUSPLATZ 10/11, D-04109 LEIPZIG

E-mail:

hellus@math.uni-leipzig.de

stueckrad@math.uni-leipzig.de